**Methodological Report Form Guidelines**

**Fieldwork 2022**

Thank you for working as a partner of the World Justice Project in the production of the WJP Rule of Law Index. Before fieldwork starts, we wanted to send you our methodological reporting form, which will allow you to provide information about your survey design and data collection process. We are sending this form now so you know what information we will need at the end of the project. This information will help in interpreting the data, help to ensure that the data are reliable and valid, and protect the legitimacy and credibility of the product. Below are some notes about the form.

* Use the provided examples and follow-up questions within the reporting form to assist you as you fill out this form.
* If you need to attach any other materials to the reporting form, please append them to the end of the reporting form. This will help keep all of your materials together and organized and will assist us in archiving these documents.
* Make sure to include the name of the country in which polling is being conducted and the name of your company and where it is based at the top of the reporting form.
* If you are conducting polling in multiple countries, please submit a separate report for each country.
* Please try to answer each section as thoroughly and completely as possible.

Please contact us if you have any questions or concerns about this form. Thank you for your cooperation.

**General Population Poll**

**Full Fieldwork Methodological Report Form 2022**

1. **Introduction:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | COMPANY RESPONSE |
| 1. This Methodological Report Form is for the survey conducted in: (*Country*) | ARGENTINA |
| 1. The sampling, fieldwork, and data processing for this survey was completed by: (*Company*) | STATMARK LLC |
| 1. This company is based in: (*Company Headquarters*) | FLORIDA |

1. **Contact Information:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Who is the company’s contact person(s) for follow up questions? | |
| ADRIANA RIVAS – PM /Orlando M Riebman CEO | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. What are the contact person’s email address and phone number? | |
| [Arivas@statmark.net](mailto:Arivas@statmark.net) – [584141512044/riebman@statmark.net](mailto:584141512044/riebman@statmark.net) - 9548951358 | |

1. **General Description:**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What were the exact dates of fieldwork? |
| The fieldwork was conducted from June 29th to august 5th |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. While the poll is nationally representative, what were the principle geographic areas targeted for full fieldwork? (i.e. regions, provinces, states) |

|  |
| --- |
| The poll is nationally representative.  The principle geographic areas were:   * CENTRE Capital Federal, Gran Buenos Aires and Mar del Plata * NOA Salta and Tucuman * LITORAL Rosario and Gran Rosario * PATAGONIA Neuquen Capital, Plottier Neuquen and Cipolletti; Rio Negro * PAMPEANA Cordoba and Gran Cordoba * CUYO Mendoza and Gran Mendoza |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the mode of interviewing? (e.g. face-to-face; online) |
| The mode of interviewing was face to face. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the achieved sample size? |
| We conducted 759 cases for final sample.  9 extra cases |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. In what language(s) were the interviews conducted? |
| All of them were conducted in Spanish due to our sole/official language usage in Argentina. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the average length of each interview? |
| The average length was 39 minutes |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the length of the longest and shortest interviews? |
| The shortest was of 19 min and 25 secs, and the longest of 98 min |

1. **Customization of Questionnaire:**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list all final adaptations to the terminology of the questionnaire (e.g. **q5a\_G1**: Changed “President” to “Prime Minister” or **q1\_G1**: Changed “Environmental Protection Authority” to “Department of Conservation”). |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Original document | Local version |
| Oficiales | Funcionarios |
| Gobierno nacional / federal | Gobierno nacional |
| Parlamento / congreso | Congreso |
| Matoneo o acoso | Bulling / Acoso |
| Gobierno local / metropolitano / municipal / distrital | Gobierno Municipal |
| Presidente / primer ministro | Presidente |
| Negocio | Actividad |
| Lavadora | Lavarropa |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list a. ethnic groups, b. religious preferences, c. income brackets, and d. levels of education applied in the customization of the questionnaire’s demographic section. For income, please specify the currency and the time period used for reporting income. For education, please provide the number of years of schooling and the level of advancement for each education level. |

1. **Ethnic groups**

White

Afro-American

Half Blood

Indigenous

Arab

European

Other

1. **Religious Preference**

Catholic

Christian

Jewish

Evangelical

Santero / Palero

Agnostic

Other

1. **Income Brackets in Argentine Pesos**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Quintile 1** | **Quintile 2** | **Quintile 3** | **Quintile 4** | **Quintile 5** |
| <43.000 | 43.000 - 65.000 | 65.000 - 94.000 | 94.000 A 140.000 | >140.000 |

All income in pesos Argentines /monthly estimation which is the current spam of time Argentineans uses

1. **levels of education**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ninguno | None |
| Primaria | Primary |
| Secundaria | Secondary |
| Bachillerato | High school degree |
| Pregrado/licenciatura | Undergraduate/Bachelor's Degree |
| Posgrado (Maestría, Doctorado) | Postgraduate (Master's, Doctorate) |
| Vocacional/colegio tencnico | Technical school |
| (NO LEER)No Sabe/No Responde | (DO NOT READ)Does not know/Does not answer |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list any other changes to the demographic section of the questionnaire. |
| N/A |

1. **Survey Design:**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the target population/sample universe for the survey? |
| 18 + years |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the coverage error associated with the survey design? |
| 3.6% at 95% CONFIDENCE INTERVAL |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list and describe each of the sampling units included in the survey? For example, the sampling units may be:  * Region (primary sampling unit) * District (secondary sampling unit) * City (terciary sampling unit) * Neighborhood (quaternary sampling unit) * Enumeration area * Census radio |
| It comprises a multistage methodology. We pick geostatistical units considering population weight, geographical representation for each region. The whole procedure included five stages, from larger units to smallest ones (the last one based on random selection).  First stage: Largest unit / Region  Second stage: District/party  Third stage: City  Fourth stage enumeration area  Fifth random: Draw stage census blocks / PSU (called PM in Argentina and PSU in the questionnaire) which can range from  300 households on average in areas with high population density to a few households in small Rural areas.  In the smallest geostatistical unit, we randomly select one block and the corner where the interviewer starts its route / itinerary. Units are “swept” clockwise and the skip pattern is of one household.  Each PSU has 5 interviews to be met, thus enabling a broad geographical spread and coverage. |

| **Region** | **State** | **Psu** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Centro** | **Almirante Brown** | Almirante Brown 28 |
|  |  | Almirante Brown 29 |
|  | **Avellaneda** | Avellaneda 39 |
|  | **Buenos Aires** | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 1 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 10 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 11 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 12 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 13 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 2 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 3 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 4 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 5 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 6 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 7 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 8 |
|  |  | Ciudad Autonoma de Buenos Aires 9 |
|  | **Capilla del señor** | Exaltacion de la Cruz 50 |
|  | **General Rodriguez** | General Rodriguez 48 |
|  | **General San Martín** | General San Martín 35 |
|  |  | General San Martín 36 |
|  | **Gral. Pueyrredon** | Batan- El Boqueron 60 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 51 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 52 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 53 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 54 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 55 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 56 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 57 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 58 |
|  |  | Mar del Plata 59 |
|  | **La Matanza** | La Matanza 14 |
|  |  | La Matanza 15 |
|  |  | La Matanza 16 |
|  |  | La Matanza 17 |
|  |  | La Matanza 18 |
|  |  | La Matanza 19 |
|  |  | La Matanza 20 |
|  |  | La Matanza 21 |
|  | **Lanús** | Lanús 32 |
|  |  | Lanús 33 |
|  | **Lomas de Zamora** | Lomas de Zamora 22 |
|  |  | Lomas de Zamora 23 |
|  |  | Lomas de Zamora 24 |
|  | **Lujan11** | Lujan 47 |
|  | **Marcos Paz** | Marcos Paz 49 |
|  | **Merlo** | Merlo 30 |
|  |  | Merlo 31 |
|  | **Moreno** | Moreno 34 |
|  | **Morón** | Morón 42 |
|  |  | Morón 43 |
|  | **Quilmes** | Quilmes 25 |
|  |  | Quilmes 26 |
|  |  | Quilmes 27 |
|  | **San Isidro** | San Isidro 44 |
|  | **San Miguel** | San Miguel 45 |
|  | **Tigre** | Tigre 37 |
|  |  | Tigre 38 |
|  | **Tres de Febrero** | Tres de Febrero 40 |
|  |  | Tres de Febrero 41 |
|  | **Vicente López** | Vicente López 46 |
| **Cuyo** | **Capital (Mendoza)** | Mendoza 102 |
|  |  | Mendoza 103 |
|  |  | Mendoza 104 |
|  |  | Mendoza 105 |
|  | **Godoy Cruz** | Godoy Cruz 100 |
|  |  | Godoy Cruz 101 |
|  |  | Godoy Cruz 99 |
|  | **Guaymallén** | Guaymallén 93 |
|  |  | Guaymallén 94 |
|  |  | Guaymallén 95 |
|  |  | Guaymallén 96 |
|  | **Las Heras** | Las Heras 97 |
|  |  | Las Heras 98 |
|  | **Luján de Cuyo** | Luján de Cuyo 107 |
|  | **Maipú** | Maipú 106 |
| **Litoral** | **Rosario** | Funes 76 |
|  |  | Granadero Baigorria 73 |
|  |  | Pérez 75 |
|  |  | Rosario 61 |
|  |  | Rosario 62 |
|  |  | Rosario 63 |
|  |  | Rosario 64 |
|  |  | Rosario 65 |
|  |  | Rosario 66 |
|  |  | Rosario 67 |
|  |  | Rosario 68 |
|  |  | Rosario 69 |
|  |  | Villa Gobernador Gálvez 70 |
|  |  | Villa Gobernador Gálvez 71 |
|  | **San Lorenzo** | Capitán Bermúdez 74 |
|  |  | San Lorenzo 72 |
| **NOA** | **Capital (La Ciénaga - San Rafael)** | La Ciénaga - San Rafael 133 |
|  |  | La Ciénaga - San Rafael 134 |
|  | **Capital (Salta)** | Salta 123 |
|  |  | Salta 124 |
|  |  | Salta 125 |
|  |  | Salta 126 |
|  |  | Salta 127 |
|  |  | Salta 128 |
|  |  | Salta 129 |
|  |  | Salta 130 |
|  |  | Salta 131 |
|  |  | Salta 132 |
|  | **Capital (San Miguel de Tucumán)** | San Miguel de Tucumán 108 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 109 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 110 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 111 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 112 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 113 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 114 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 115 |
|  |  | San Miguel de Tucumán 116 |
|  | **Cerrillos** | Villa Los Álamos-El Congreso-Las Tunas-Los Pinares-Los Olmos 135 |
|  |  | Villa Los Álamos-El Congreso-Las Tunas-Los Pinares-Los Olmos 136 |
|  | **Cruz Alta** | Banda del Río Salí 117 |
|  |  | Banda del Río Salí 118 |
|  |  | Banda del Río Salí 119 |
|  | **Yerba Buena** | Yerba Buena 120 |
|  |  | Yerba Buena 121 |
|  |  | Yerba Buena 122 |
| **Pampeana** | **Capital (Córdoba)** | Córdoba 77 |
|  |  | Córdoba 78 |
|  |  | Córdoba 79 |
|  |  | Córdoba 80 |
|  |  | Córdoba 81 |
|  |  | Córdoba 82 |
|  |  | Córdoba 83 |
|  |  | Córdoba 84 |
|  |  | Córdoba 85 |
|  | **Colón** | La Calera 89 |
|  |  | La Calera 90 |
|  |  | Unquillo 92 |
|  |  | Villa Allende 91 |
|  | **Punilla** | Villa Carlos Paz 86 |
|  |  | Villa Carlos Paz 87 |
|  |  | Villa Carlos Paz 88 |
| **Patagonia** | **Confluencia** | Neuquén 137 |
|  |  | Neuquén 138 |
|  |  | Neuquén 139 |
|  |  | Neuquén 140 |
|  |  | Neuquén 141 |
|  |  | Neuquén 142 |
|  |  | Neuquén 143 |
|  |  | Neuquén 144 |
|  |  | Neuquén 145 |
|  |  | Plottier 150 |
|  | **General Roca** | Cipolletti 146 |
|  |  | Cipolletti 147 |
|  |  | Cipolletti 148 |
|  |  | Cipolletti 149 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe, in detail, the sampling plan and stratifications that were monitored during fieldwork.    1. What variables were involved? (e.g. city, gender, age, socio-economic status, etc.)    2. What questions/criteria were used to classify a respondent for each relevant stratum?    3. What information source was used to set targets? (e.g. census data, population statistics, etc.)   Please include a link to the data source or an attachment if possible. |

1. **Variables were involved**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CENTRO | NOA | LITORAL | PATAGONIA | PAMPEANA | CUYO |
| 20,619,977 | 3,119,053 | 3,536,418 | 1,411,667 | 3,760,450 | 1,990,338 |
| 300 | 145 | 80 | 70 | 80 | 75 |

**Source:** https://www.indec.gob.ar/indec/web/Nivel3-Tema-2-24

**Year:** 2020

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Female** | **Male** |
| 51.30% | 48.70% |
| 382 | 368 |

**Source:** Indec.

**Year:** 2022

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **18-24** | **25-34** | **35-44** | **45-54** | **55-64** | **65+** |
| Population (city or national statistics) | 4,918,880 | 6,995,801 | 6,317,297 | 5,228,773 | 4,085,238 | 5,480,183 |
| Sample Size | 112 | 159 | 143 | 119 | 93 | 124 |

**Source**: INDEC.

**Year:** 2019

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Income Range | <43.000 | 43.000 - 65.000 | 65.000 - 94.000 | 94.000 A 140.000 | >140.000 |
| Population (city or national statistics) | 9,161,749 | 9,161,749 | 9,161,749 | 9,161,749 | 9,161,749 |
| Sample Size | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |

**Source**: INDEC

**Year**: 2022

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Rural** | **Urban** |
| Population (national statistics) | 3,698,786 | 42,536,044 |
| Sample Size | 60 | 690 |

**Source**: INDEC

**Year**: 2022

1. **Questions/criteria were used to classify a respondent for each relevant stratum**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **QUESTIONS** | **CRITERIA** |
| REGION | Region |
| GENDER | Gend |
| AGE | Age |
| INCOME QUINTILES | Income VS Income2 |
| RURAL / URBAN | % population |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Were you able to achieve the sample distribution proposed in the sampling plan?    1. If so, did you achieve it through random sampling or did you need to apply quotas?    2. If you applied quotas, please describe the process for selecting respondents to fill these quotas.    3. Did you use any weights to adjust the sample after fieldwork was completed? |
| 1. 97.5% of the PROPOSED sample distribution was achieved through random sampling. We needed to complete 17 cases of age 65 + in the last 6 PSU. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe, in detail, the sampling method and how the sample was drawn.    1. Were any external population statistics, census data, or other sources used to draw the sample? If yes, please provide a link to the source or an attachment if possible. |
| Please, see section 18. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe, in detail, how enumeration areas were selected. *BY ENUMERATION AREA, WE MEAN THE SMALLEST GEOGRAPHIC UNIT FOR DATA COLLECTION THAT IS ALLOCATED TO A SINGLE ENUMERATOR.* |
| In the smallest geostatistical unit, we randomly select one block and a corner from which the interviewer starts its route/itinerary.  Units are “swept” clockwise and the skip pattern is of one household. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list the enumeration areas selected for the survey. |
| Please, see section 18- |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Were any enumeration areas substituted due to interviewer safety, inaccessibility, or other logistical reasons? If yes, please list these neighborhoods/settlements and the reason. |
| There was no need to substitute any PSU |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe, in detail, the procedure for selecting households in each enumeration area. |
| In the smallest geostatistical unit, we randomly selectED one block and a corner from which the interviewer starts its route/itinerary.  Units are “swept” clockwise and the skip pattern is of one household. We do not count other buildings (commerce, warehouses, empty lands, manufacture buildings, etc.  the general criteria for ringing in every household are front, middle, and back, lower floor to the upper floor. once the effective interview is made, we left one household between the next contact. |

1. **Respondent Selection**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. How were individual respondents selected within each household? (e.g. Kish Grid; Last Birthday; Next Birthday) |
| We used the kish table into the questionnaire |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Were all individuals required to give informed consent before completing the survey? |
| Does not apply |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe the procedure for respondent substitution.    1. How many substitutions took place during the survey? |
| We made 37 substitutions during fieldwork |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Describe the procedure for callbacks.    1. How many attempts were made to reach a selected respondent?    2. In aggregate, what were the contact percentage rates for each attempt? |
| We did not make callbacks |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Were any groups of individuals deliberately excluded from the survey? (e.g. Government employees, police officers, market research professionals, etc.) |
| No. No groups of individuals were excluded. |

1. **Supervision and Quality Control**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. How many interviewers worked on the project (excluding supervisors/managers)?    1. Divided into how many teams?    2. How many male and female interviewers? |
| We used 30 interviewers, 25 female and 5 male. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe how interviewers were trained for the project. |
| We divided all 30 interviewers into 6 regional groups, each with a supervisor/ coordinator. all these 6 groups virtually attended a 2-hour training which consisted in going thoroughly through the methodological and technicalities of fieldwork (registering of incidences, itineraries to follow, kish table, etc.) as well as the covering of one by one question - from first to last - from questionnaire and showcards. all these with device on screen so as to get them acquainted to the stg programmed questionnaire. after training all of them were given 2 days for trial of questionnaire in their own devices (cells and tablets). all interviewers had the first day of fieldwork with the regional supervisor. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the process for back-checking interviews?    1. How many interviews were conducted under direct supervision? 197    2. How many interviews were checked through in-person or telephone back-checks by the supervisory team? 42    3. How many back-checks were performed by the central office with audio supervision? 158 |
| The overall supervision (in situ+phone calls + audio checking) covered 52% of total 759 interviews. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe the quality control measures used to flag/reject interviews. (For example, geo-fencing, audio recording, photos, answer patterns) Were any questionnaires rejected for quality-control reasons?   If so, how many? |
| We rejected all those questionnaires that did not pass supervision: either phone, in situ, audio or time duration: those were 37 cases  Key reasons: net duration (21), audio problems (9), corrupted data (3), did not pass supervision (4). |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please describe the data process.    1. How was the process supervised?    2. How many questionnaires were selected for double-entry? |
| Not applied |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the number of respondents who successfully completed the survey from start to finish, no matter how many DK/NA responses they provided? |
| 759 interviews |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. What was the number of people contacted to take the survey that did not ultimately take the survey? In other words, how many people rejected to take the survey at all? | |
| Please, see section 41 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the number of interviews where the respondent did not complete the survey from start to finish? In other words, how many people terminated the survey early? |
| Please, see section 41 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. What was the number of people you attempted to contact to take the survey, but were ultimately unable to contact? |
| Please, see section 41 |

1. **Other**

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please list all of the weights used in the final dataset, and explain how they were calculated. (Examples of weights include post-stratification weights, design weights, and population weights.) |
| It was no required because the sampling was made proportional regarding the census data. |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please provide the table of incidents and rejection **rate for the survey. 30.1%** |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | |  | CON | | Refusals | 1321 | | Break off | 50 | | Non - contact | 1881 | | Other reason of eligibility | 68 | | Unknown if housing unit | 25 | | Unknown if eligible respondent | 6 | | Other reason for unknown ineligibility | 2 | | Outside of sample | 10 | | Not a housing unit | 51 | | No eligible respondent in the household | 31 | | Quota filled | 180 | | Other reason for ineligibility | 12 | | **TOTAL INCIDENCIAS** | 3637 | | Effectives | 759 | | **GRAN TOTAL** | 4396 | |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please include any other comments on the design, implementation, and data processing for the survey. |
| No other extra design complementary techniques were applied or needed |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. The World Justice Project would like to acknowledge our polling partners in each country measured in the Index. Please enter the details for the country where you have conducted fieldwork this year, and how you would like your company’s name to appear. If you would prefer not to be publicly acknowledged, please enter “WJP in collaboration with local partner” under “Polling Company.” |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Country/Territory** | **Areas Covered** | **Polling Company** | **Methodology** | **Sample** | **Year** |
| Argentina | Nationally representative | Statmark LLC | Face to Face | 750 | 2022 |

*Examples:*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Country/Territory** | **Areas Covered** | **Polling Company** | **Methodology** | **Sample** | **Year** |
| Belize | Nationally representative | CID-Gallup Latin America | Face-to-face | 1020 | 2014 |
| Kyrgyzstan | Nationally representative | WJP in collaboration with  local partner | Face-to-face | 1000 | 2016 |
| United States | Nationally representative | YouGov | Online | 1018 | 2016 |

**Requirements for Sampling Methodologies**

***In person, face-to-face interviews***

For face-to-face survey design in a country, the following requirements for sampling methodology must be incorporated. Please describe the sampling methodology in detail below and provide the following information.

1. The sample should be a probabilistic sample. Please describe the following:

|  |
| --- |
| a) How Primary Sampling Units (PSU’s) are selected |
| Radio censal/psu (called pm for argentina and psu in the questionnaire) which can range from 300 households in average in highly dense cities to very few in small rural areas.  In the smallest geoestatistical unit, were randomly selected. |
| b) How the selection of the household is done (population registers are preferred, followed by building registers, field enumeration and as a last resource, systematic random sampling) |
| Each pm/psu has 5 interviews to be accomplished. this enables an excellent geographical spread and coverage. in each psu one block and a corner are marked from which the interviewer starts its route/intinerary.  Units are “swept” clockwise and the skip pattern is of one household. the general criteria for ringing in every household are front, middle and back, lower floor to the upper floor. once the effective interview is made we left one household between the next contact. |
| c) Clusters and stratification variables to be used |
| Not applied |
| d) Coverage error (if possible) and confidence interval |
| Please, see section 17 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. A multistage stratification proportionate to the population sample distribution with the random selection of households and respondents should be used. Please describe the multistage stratification process, the random selection of households, and the random selection of respondents. |
| Please, see section 19 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Sampling units shall be randomly selected from electoral registers, the census bureau, or another up-to-date and reliable source. Please specify how sampling units will be selected. |
| Please, see section 22 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If an alternative method (e.g. random route procedures) is recommended, please include the justification for the method. |
| NOT APPLIED |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Within each household, respondents will be randomly selected from the individuals present in the household at the time of the survey (NOT from all individuals that live in the household). Methods of random selection can include a Kish Grid or the last birthday method. If needed, an example Kish Grid is available in the Supplemental Survey Materials. Please indicate the method of respondent selection to be used. |
| Please, see section 26 |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. If the selected respondent is unable to complete the interview, the surveyor will move to the next household in the random route. The surveyor will not interview another respondent in the same household. |
| yes |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. While it is not required to conduct revisits, polling partners may utilize revisits if they would like to. Please indicate this in your sampling methodology. |
| Not applied |

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Please explain the procedure for substituting sampling points, if necessary. |
| We did not replace any PSU |